

**Reconstructing a signal from the knowledge of
the norms of its multiples**

by Bernard Beauzamy

Société de Calcul Mathématique SA
111 Faubourg Saint Honoré, 75008 Paris

february 1996

Abstract. – A periodic signal f is unknown and is not directly accessible. The only available data are the numbers $\|f.g\|$, for all polynomials g . Which norm $\|\cdot\|$ should be chosen ? We show that if $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual L_2 -norm, reconstructing f is impossible but, if $\|\cdot\|$ is Bombieri's norm, the reconstruction can be achieved. We describe the algorithm in detail and study its complexity.

Let us consider a periodic signal f , which is unknown and not directly accessible to any measurement. The receiving device –the captor– gives only a scalar information (that is, in fact, a positive real). However, before treating f , we can perform on it multiplicative transformations, that is, for any g , explicit and known, we can measure fg . The question is : how can we reconstruct f ?

Practically speaking, we wish to compute only a finite number (finite but arbitrarily large) of Fourier coefficients of f , that is to determine the Fourier series of f , truncated at some specified order N : $\sum_{-N}^N a_j e^{ijt}$. Since we know that we can multiply by any multiple of e^{it} , we may therefore consider only analytic polynomials, that is assume from the very beginning that f has the form $\sum_0^n a_j e^{ijt}$.

Our question is thus : let f be a polynomial of degree n , with unknown coefficients. If we know the set

$$E_f = \{ \|fg\| ; g \text{ arbitrary polynomial} \} \quad (1)$$

can we reconstruct f ?

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ can be any norm which makes sense on the space of polynomials. We will consider two of them here. First, the most natural and widely used : the L_2 -norm, of which we show that it is inadequate, and then Bombieri's norm, of which we show that it allows the reconstruction of f .

For a polynomial $P = \sum_0^n c_j z^j$, we let

$$\|P\|_2 = (\sum |c_j|^2)^{1/2} = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{it})|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2}$$

be the usual norm on $L_2(\Pi)$.

Theorem 1. – Assume we know the set

$$E_f = \{ \|fg\|_2 ; g \text{ arbitrary polynomial} \} \quad (2)$$

then f can be reconstructed only within 3 ambiguities :

- taking the conjugate : f can be replaced by \bar{f} ,
- multiplication by any function of modulus 1 on the unit circle,
- for each zero a , choosing between a and $-1/\bar{a}$, that is, each monomial $z - a$ cannot be distinguished from $\frac{1}{a}(1 - \bar{a}z)$.

So we see that this procedure will not allow any distinction between (for instance) :

$$A(z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_n)$$

and

$$Az_1 \cdots z_k (z - 1/\bar{z}_1) \cdots (z - 1/\bar{z}_k) (z - z_{k+1}) \cdots (z - z_n).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. – If E_f is known, so is \tilde{E}_f , defined by

$$\tilde{E}_f = \{ \|fg\|_2 ; g \in L_2(\Pi) \}, \quad (3)$$

since trigonometric polynomials are dense in $L_2(\Pi)$.

Let a , $0 \leq a < 2\pi$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, and let us consider the function $g = g_{a,\varepsilon}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{a,\varepsilon}(e^{it}) &= 1/\sqrt{2\varepsilon} \text{ if } |t - a| < \varepsilon, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

We have :

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{it})|^2 |g_{a,\varepsilon}(e^{it})|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} &= \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{a-\varepsilon}^{a+\varepsilon} |f(e^{it})|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\rightarrow |f(e^{ia})|, \quad \text{when } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so we know the set of values $|f(z)|$, $|z| = 1$, that is the function $\varphi(z) = |f(z)|$, $|z| = 1$.

Therefore, we can reconstruct the *outer part* of f , in its decomposition in the Hardy space H^2 , by the standard formula :

$$F(z) = \exp \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \log(\varphi(e^{it})) \frac{dt}{2\pi},$$

and we know that $|F(e^{it})| = \varphi(e^{it}) = |f(e^{it})|$ for every t . If f is a polynomial, F is also a polynomial, of the same degree, with no zero inside the open unit disk D . If f has no zero in D , $F = f$. If f has one root or several roots in D , F is obtained by reflection of these roots : if $f = (z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_n)$, with $|z_1| \leq 1, \dots, |z_k| \leq 1$, then

$$F = (1 - \bar{z}_1 z) \cdots (1 - \bar{z}_k z)(z - z_{k+1}) \cdots (z - z_n).$$

This proves the theorem.

Remark. – The ambiguities in the reconstruction of f come from the obvious fact that the tool we use –namely the L_2 -norm–, employs only the values of f on the unit circle, and that $|z - a| = |1 - \bar{a}z|$ if $|z| = 1$. If we had taken f in H^2 (not just a polynomial), we would get a similar result : we can reconstruct the outer part of f ; we have no information on its inner part m (Blaschke factor and singular part), since it satisfies $|m(z)| = 1$, $|z| = 1$.

We now study the same question, but this time using Bombieri's norm. We will see that the result is now satisfactory : reconstructing f is possible, up to the multiplication by a complex number of modulus 1, of course.

The proper frame will be here that of homogeneous polynomials in many-variables (as in Beauzamy-Bombieri-Enflo-Montgomery [1] and Beauzamy-Dégot [2]). The case of an ordinary one-variable polynomial $\sum_0^n a_j z^j$ is deduced from the homogeneous two-variable $\sum_0^n a_j z^j z'^{n-j}$, just by taking $z' = 1$.

So let

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} a_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N}$$

be a homogeneous polynomial in N variables, with degree n .

We have $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N$. Bombieri's norm, which depends on the degree, is defined by the expression :

$$[P] = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{|a_\alpha|^2 \alpha!}{m!} \right)^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_N!$. The reader is referred to Beauzamy-Dégot [2] and Reznick [3] for the basic properties of this norm.

Theorem 2. – Let P be a homogeneous polynomial in N variables, with degree n , and unknown coefficients. If we know the set

$$F_P = \{[PQ] ; \varphi \text{ homogeneous polynomial in } N \text{ variables, with degree } \leq n\}, \quad (5)$$

we can reconstruct P , up to the multiplication by a complex scalar of modulus 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. – The proof we give now follows the lines indicated by the referee : it is shorter than the one we originally gave.

First, from the knowledge of (5), we deduce, by the usual polarization formulas, the knowledge of all the scalar products

$$A_k(\alpha, \beta) = [x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} P] \quad (6)$$

for all $k \leq n$ and all α, β , with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = k$.

Indeed, each scalar product (6) can be computed from the four numbers

$$[(x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} \pm x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N})P], \quad [(x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} \pm i x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N})P]. \quad (7)$$

We observe, at this stage, that we won't use all the set (5), but only the scalar products (6), so we need only the quantities (7) : there are $4 \binom{N+m-1}{m}$ such numbers.

Now, from the numbers (6), we will deduce the knowledge of all the numbers

$$B_k(\alpha, \beta) = [P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D^\alpha P] \quad (8)$$

for all $k \leq n$, all α, β , with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = k$.

Indeed, for $k = 1$, we have (see [2])

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i P, x_j P] &= \frac{1}{n+1} [P, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_j P)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} [P, \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i} P] + \frac{1}{n+1} [P, x_j \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i}] \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$[P, x_j \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i}] = (n+1)[x_i P, x_j P] - \delta_{ij} [P, P],$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $= 1$ if $i = j$.

So we have the formula

$$[P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D^\alpha P] = (n+1)[x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} P] - \delta_{\alpha, \beta} [P, P]$$

or

$$B_1(\alpha, \beta) = (n+1) A_1(\alpha, \beta) - \delta_{\alpha, \beta} A_0(\alpha, \beta).$$

Now, assume $B_1(\alpha, \beta), \dots, B_{k-1}(\alpha, \beta)$ can be computed from $A_0(\alpha, \beta), \dots, A_{k-1}(\alpha, \beta)$. We compute the numbers $B_k(\alpha, \beta)$, where $|\alpha| = |\beta| = k$. Take any coordinate $\alpha_j \geq 1$, say for instance $\alpha_1 \geq 1$. Then, as before :

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} P] &= \frac{1}{n+k} [x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, \beta_1 x_1^{\beta_1-1} x_2^{\beta_2} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} P] \\ &+ \frac{1}{n+k} [x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D_1 P], \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$[x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D_1 P] = (n+k) A_k(\alpha, \beta) - \beta_1 A_{k-1}(\alpha_1-1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N; \beta_1-1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N),$$

from which one deduces all the numbers

$$[x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D_1 P] \quad (9)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, |\alpha| = |\beta| = k$. From these numbers one deduces as before the numbers

$$[x_1^{\alpha_1-2} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D_1^2 P],$$

and so on, until all derivatives are transferred to the right-hand side, and we get $B_k(\alpha, \beta)$.

Remark. The computation of $B_k(\alpha, \beta)$ can be made more explicit, using the differential identity proved in [2] (Theorem 12). Indeed, with the present notation, this theorem gives, if $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$, degree $P = n$:

$$[x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_N^{\alpha_N} P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} P] = \frac{1}{(m+n)!} \sum_{k \geq 0} (n-m+k)! \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{\alpha! \beta!}{(\alpha-\gamma)! (\beta-\gamma)! \gamma!} [D^{\beta-\gamma} P, D^{\alpha-\gamma} P].$$

The term with $k = 0$ in the right-hand side gives $[D^\beta P, D^\alpha P]$, that is $[P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D^\alpha P]$, and the terms for $k \geq 1$ correspond to $B_j(\alpha, \beta)$, with $j < m$.

When all the $B_k(\alpha, \beta)$, $k \leq n$ are known, let us take $|\alpha| = |\beta| = n$. We have

$$B_n(\alpha, \beta) = [P, x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_N^{\beta_N} D^\alpha P] = \frac{1}{n!} [D^\beta P, D^\alpha P] = \frac{\alpha! \beta!}{n!} \overline{a_\alpha} a_\beta. \quad (10)$$

So we know all the numbers $\overline{a_\alpha} a_\beta$. Taking for instance $\alpha = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\beta = \alpha$, we get $|a_{1,0,\dots,0}|^2$ (or any other term if this one is zero), so $a_{1,0,\dots,0}$ is known up to a complex factor of modulus 1. All other coefficients a_β are then deduced from (10). This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark. Practical computation of Bombieri's norm $[P]$, for a homogeneous polynomial P of degree n in N variables, can be made in two ways :

- from the coefficients of the polynomials, using the definition (4), if these coefficients are known,
- from the values of $P(z)$ inside the unit disk, using an integral formula, such as Boyd's :

$$[P] = \frac{n+1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{|P(re^{i\theta})|^2}{(1+r^2)^{n+2}} r dr d\theta.$$

References

- [1] B. Beauzamy, E. Bombieri, P. Enflo, H. Montgomery : Products of polynomials in many variables. *Journal of Number Theory*, vol. 36, 2, oct. 1990, 219–245.
- [2] B. Beauzamy, J. Dégot : Differential identities, *Transactions of the A.M.S.*, vol. 347, no 7, July 1995, pp. 2607-2619.
- [3] Reznick, Bruce : An inequality for products of polynomials. *Proceedings A.M.S.*, vol. 117, 4, 1993, pp. 1063–1073.